UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

E. I. DU PONT I COMPANY,	DE NEMOURS AND	GAGDAYO AAA AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
,	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 3:11-cv-01665-JSW
USA PERFORM	v. IANCE TECHNOLOGY,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
ive, et al.	Defendant(s)/	
	sel report that they have met an oulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	d conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties ag	gree to participate in the follow	ing ADR process:
Court □ Ø □	Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (AD Early Neutral Evaluation (EN Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
appreciably n	nore likely to meet their needs t	lement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an sform. They must instead file a Notice of Need for le 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Priva □	te Process: Private ADR (please identify	process and provider)
The parties ag		y: e deadline is 90 days from the date of the order process unless otherwise ordered.)
A	other requested deadline 120 d	days from entry of order
Dated: July 1, 2	2011	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: July 1, 2	2011	Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above,	the captioned	matter is	hereby referred to:
------------------------------------	---------------	-----------	---------------------

- □ Non-binding Arbitration
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
- □ Mediation
- □ Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

- □ 90 days from the date of this order.
- other 120 days from order date

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 5, 2011

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE